

Global Credit Portal® RatingsDirect®

November 3, 2011

Summary:

Leeds, Alabama; General Obligation

Primary Credit Analyst:

Jim Tchou, New York (1) 212-438-3821; jim_tchou@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contact:

Edward R McGlade, New York (1) 212-438-2061; edward_mcglade@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Related Criteria And Research

Summary:

Leeds, Alabama; General Obligation

Credit Profile			
US\$6.33 mil go warrants ser 2011A dtd 11/01/2011 due 11/01/2036			
Long Term Rating	A+/Stable	New	
US\$3.12 mil GO warrants ser 2011B dtd 11/01/2011 due 11/01/2036			
Long Term Rating	A+/Stable	New	

Rationale

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services assigned its 'A+' rating and stable outlook to Leeds, Ala.'s series 2011A and 2011B general obligation (GO) warrants.

The rating reflects our assessment of the city's:

- Easy access to the broad and diverse Birmingham economic base;
- Good income and, what we consider, strong wealth; and
- Historically very strong fund balances, supported by, what we view as, good financial practices.

We believe what we consider the city's moderately high debt, based on market value, and elevated carrying charges somewhat weaken these strengths.

The city's full-faith-and-credit pledge secures the warrants. Officials intend to use warrant proceeds to refinance the city's series 2006C warrants and series 2010 warrants, along with financing some street and drainage improvements.

Leeds, with a population estimate of 11,773, is primarily a residential community about 10 miles north of downtown Birmingham ('AA' GO debt rating). Portions of the city are in Jefferson, St. Clair, and Shelby counties. The city has three major draws that attract more than 2.5 million visitors annually:

- Barber Motor Speedway and Museum,
- Grand River Shopping Center, and
- Bass Pro Shops.

Leading city employers include:

- The Shops at Grand River (400 employees), retail outlet stores;
- Wal-Mart Stores Inc. (400);
- Bass Pro Shops (235);
- Hubbell Power Systems (225), electrical; and
- Lowe's (175).

Birmingham-Hoover metropolitan statistical area unemployment, as of July 2011, was on par with the nation's 9.3%. City income is, in our opinion, good: Per capita effective buying income is 101% of the nation's average while median household effective buying income is a slightly lower 95%. The property tax base has grown by an

aggregate 25% over the past four years to \$147 million in fiscal 2011, or roughly \$735 million in market value, due primarily to the opening of Bass Pro in 2009. Market value, a wealth indicator, is, in our opinion, a strong \$62,462 per capita.

Leeds has maintained, what we consider, very strong finances over the past three audited fiscal years, supported by stable revenue streams. Management has adopted a balanced budget for fiscal 2012. It conservatively budgeted for slightly lower sales tax revenue than collected in fiscal 2011. Officials also estimate the city will add about \$1.9 million to general fund balance at fiscal year-end 2011 since actual expenditures trended 80% below the budget. In fiscal 2010, the unreserved general fund balance totaled \$7.3 million, or, in our opinion, a very strong 78% of expenditures. General sales and lodging taxes generated 58% of general revenue in fiscal 2010 while licenses and permits generated 12% and property taxes accounted for 7%.

Standard & Poor's considers Leeds' financial management practices "good" under its Financial Management Assessment methodology, indicating financial practices exist in most areas but that governance officials might not formalize or regularly monitor all of them. Key practices include management's:

- Revenue and expenditure assumptions based, in part, on historical trends;
- Budget amendments performed throughout the year with formal quarterly reports provided to the city council for review;
- Informal financial projections performed three years beyond the budget year;
- Use of an informal rolling five-year capital plan, though it has not yet identified funding sources for all projects;
- Investment practices that follow state guidelines; and
- Goal of maintaining six months' operating reserves, as well as annually budgeting for a \$1.1 million contingency.

Management currently lacks a formal debt management policy, but it adheres to the limits set by the state.

Following this issuance, we view debt as a moderately high 6.2% of market value, or a moderate \$3,857 per capita. Carrying charges are, in our opinion, an elevated 39% of general fund expenditures. Officials are contemplating refinancing the series 2006B GO warrants, but they have yet to decide on the timing of such a refinancing.

Leeds participates in the Alabama Employees' Retirement System, an agent multiple-employer public retirement system. State statute specifies the contribution rate, determined by an actuarial method. The city met its required contribution from fiscal years 2006-2009 in full. In fiscal 2009, the annual pension cost was \$381,102, or 2.2% of expenditures. As of Sept. 30, 2009, the most recent actuarial valuation, the plan was 74.4% funded. The city does not offer retirees health care coverage, so it does not have to contend with an other postemployment benefits liability.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects Standard & Poor's opinion that management will likely maintain, what Standard & Poor's considers, its very strong finances. We do not think we will change the rating within the stable outlook's two-year period because we believe management will likely continue to make proactive budgetary adjustments, as needed, in light of its reliance on sales tax revenue, which could fluctuate depending on economic conditions, as a key revenue source for operations. Due to management's preference to address capital needs through pay-as-you-go financing, it is also our opinion that the city's already moderately high debt should see significant increases.

Related Criteria And Research

- USPF Criteria: GO Debt, Oct. 12, 2006
- USPF Criteria: Financial Management Assessment, June 27, 2006

Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect on the Global Credit Portal at www.globalcreditportal.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2011 by Standard & Poors Financial Services LLC (S&P), a subsidiary of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of S&P. The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P, its affiliates, and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions, regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P's opinions and analyses do not address the suitability of any security. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain credit-related analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

The **McGraw**·**Hill** Companies